

XIENCE V™ Everolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent System: A Preclinical Assessment

LAURA E. L. PERKINS D.V.M., Ph.D., D.A.C.V.P., KATRIN H. BOEKE-PURKIS B.S., QING WANG Ph.D., STEVEN K. STRINGER M.S.,
LESLIE A. COLEMAN D.V.M., M.S., D.A.C.L.A.M.

First published: 14 April 2009

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-8183.2009.00451.x>

Citations: 28

✉ Address for reprints: Laura E. L. Perkins, D.V.M., M.S., D.A.C.L.A.M., 3200 Lakeside Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95054. Fax: 408-845-4074; e-mail:
laura.perkins@av.abbott.com

Abstract

Background: *The XIENCE V™ everolimus-eluting coronary stent system is a second-generation drug-eluting stent designed for safety and efficacy in the interventional treatment of coronary artery disease and in preventing in-stent restenosis. A comprehensive preclinical program was completed to aid in the scientific design and to demonstrate the safety of XIENCE V.*

Methods: *Studies evaluating clinical dose selection, pharmacokinetics, single and overlapping stent safety, polymer safety, and maximum dose (8× everolimus) safety were conducted in the porcine coronary arterial model at 28, 90, 180 days, and 1 and 2 years. Additionally, a subset of studies was conducted in the rabbit iliac arterial model.*

Results: *Morbidity and mortality rates for all preclinical studies were exceptionally low, being less than 1%. The arterial response observed in the clinical dose selection study and in all safety studies was typified by benign neointimal hyperplasia with endothelialization by 28 days. Everolimus was released in a controlled manner for 120 days and remained primarily localized within the stented arterial region, which was evidenced histologically as peristrut fibrin. The temporal presence of peristrut fibrin matched the everolimus-elution profile. Thrombosis, malapposition, medial loss, or other adverse effects were not observed in any preclinical studies.*

Conclusion: *XIENCE V has demonstrated safety via an extremely comprehensive preclinical program published to date for a DES system, with data generated in two species to 2 years. The preclinical data, along with the SPIRIT clinical trial data, demonstrate the excellent safety and potential efficacy profile of XIENCE V.*

References

- 1 Beijk MA, Piek JJ. XIENCE V everolimus-eluting coronary stent system: A novel second generation drug-eluting stent. *Expert Rev Med Devices* 2007; **4**: 11– 21.
- 2 Serruys PW. SPIRIT II study: A clinical evaluation of the XIENCE V everolimus eluting coronary stent system in the treatment of patients with de novo native coronary artery lesions. Late-breaking clinical trials 3. Presented at the American College of Cardiology Scientific Sessions/i2 Summit-SCAI Annual Meeting, 2008.
- 3 Stone GW, Midei M, Newman W, *et al.* Comparison of an everolimus-eluting stent and a paclitaxel-eluting stent in patients with coronary artery disease: A randomized trial. *JAMA* 2008; **299**: 1903– 1913.
- 4 Stone GW, Murphy SA, Gibson CM. SPIRIT III. *ACC Cardiosource Rev J* 2007; **16**: 43.
- 5 Schwartz RS, Huber KC, Murphy JG, *et al.* Restenosis and the proportional neointimal response to coronary artery injury: Results in a porcine model. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992; **19**: 267– 274.

6 Schwartz RS, Edelman ER, Carter A, *et al.* Drug-eluting stents in preclinical studies: Recommended evaluation from a consensus group. *Circulation* 2002; **106**: 1867– 1873.

7 Carter AJ, Aggarwal M, Kopia GA, *et al.* Long-term effects of polymer-based, slow-release, sirolimus-eluting stents in a porcine coronary model. *Cardiovasc Res* 2004; **63**: 617– 624.

8 Cilingiroglu M, Elliott J, Patel D, *et al.* Long-term effects of novel biolimus eluting DEVAX AXXESS plus nitinol self-expanding stent in a porcine coronary model. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2006; **68**: 271– 279.

9 Nakazawa G, Finn AV, John MC, *et al.* The significance of preclinical evaluation of sirolimus-, paclitaxel-, and zotarolimus-eluting stents. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; **100**: 36M– 44M.

10 Salu KJ, Bosmans JM, Bult H, *et al.* Drug-eluting stents: A new treatment in the prevention of restenosis. Part I: Experimental studies. *Acta Cardiol* 2004; **59**: 51– 61.

11 Wilson GJ, Polovick JE, Huibregtse BA, *et al.* Overlapping paclitaxel-eluting stents: Long-term effects in a porcine coronary artery model. *Cardiovasc Res* 2007; **76**: 361– 372.

12 De Scheerder IK, Wilczek KL, Verbeken EV, *et al.* Biocompatibility of polymer-coated oversized metallic stents implanted in normal porcine coronary arteries. *Atherosclerosis* 1995; **114**: 105– 114.

13 Finn AV, Nakazawa G, Joner M, *et al.* Vascular responses to drug eluting stents: Importance of delayed healing. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2007; **27**: 1500– 1510.

14 Karas SP, Gravanis MB, Santoian EC, *et al.* Coronary intimal proliferation after balloon injury and stenting in swine: An animal model of restenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992; **20**: 467– 474.

15 Rodgers GP, Minor ST, Robinson K, *et al.* The coronary artery response to implantation of a balloon-expandable flexible stent in the aspirin- and non-aspirin-treated swine model. *Am Heart J* 1991; **122**: 640– 647.

16 Schwartz RS, Wilson GJ. CYPHER versus TAXUS stents: Comparing the inflammatory response in porcine coronary arteries. *TCT (Abstract), Am J Cardiol* 2006; **98**: 36M.

17 Touchard AG, Schwartz RS. Preclinical restenosis models: Challenges and successes. *Toxicol Pathol* 2006; **34**: 11– 18.

18 Van Der Giessen WJ, Lincoff AM, Schwartz RS, *et al.* Marked inflammatory sequelae to implantation of biodegradable and nonbiodegradable polymers in porcine coronary arteries. *Circulation* 1996; **94**: 1690– 1697.

19 Yorozya M, Suzuki H, Iso Y, *et al.* Comparison of the morphological changes of restenosis after the implantation of various types of stents in a swine model. *Coron Artery Dis* 2002; **13**: 305– 312.

20 US Market Overview Report. PGM, Inc. December 2007.

21 Garasic JM, Edelman ER, Squire JC, *et al.* Stent and artery geometry determine intimal thickening independent of arterial injury. *Circulation* 2000; **101**: 812– 818.

22 Schwartz RS, Holmes DR Jr, Topol EJ. The restenosis paradigm revisited: An alternative proposal for cellular mechanisms. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992; **20**: 1284– 1293.

23 Kastrati A, Mehilli J, Dirschinger J, *et al.* Intracoronary stenting and angiographic results: Strut thickness effect on restenosis outcome (ISAR-STEREO) trial. *Circulation* 2001; **103**: 2816– 2821.

24 Pache J, Kastrati A, Mehilli J, *et al.* Intracoronary stenting and angiographic results: Strut thickness effect on restenosis outcome (ISAR-STEREO-2) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003; **41**: 1283– 1288.

25 Simon C, Palmaz JC, Sprague EA. Influence of topography on endothelialization of stents: Clues for new designs. *J Long Term Eff Med Implants* 2000; **10**: 143– 151.

26 Nakazawa G, Kolodgie F, Virmani R. 2008; Data on file.

27 Schuler W, Sedrani R, Cottens S, *et al.* SDZ RAD, a new rapamycin derivative: Pharmacological properties in vitro and in vivo. *Transplantation* 1997; **64**: 36– 42.

28 Eisen HJ, Tuzcu EM, Dorent R, *et al.* Everolimus for the prevention of allograft rejection and vasculopathy in cardiac-transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med* 2003; **349**: 847– 858.

29 Kaplan B, Tedesco-Silva H, Mendez R. North/South American, double-blind, parallel group study of the safety and efficacy of Certican. *Am J Transplant* 2001; **1**: 475.

30 Vitko S, Margreiter R, Weimar W. International, double-blind, parallel group study of the safety and efficacy of Certican™ (RAD) versus mycophenolate mofetil in combination with Neoral® and steroids. *Am J Transplant* 2001; **1**: 474.

31 Kovarik JM, Kaplan B, Silva HT, *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of an everolimus-cyclosporine immunosuppressive regimen over the first 6 months after kidney transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2003; **3**: 606– 613.

32 Kovarik JM, Kaplan B, Tedesco Silva H, *et al.* Exposure-response relationships for everolimus in de novo kidney transplantation: Defining a therapeutic range. *Transplantation* 2002; **73**: 920– 925.

33 Johnson G. XIENCE™ V everolimus eluting coronary stent system (EECSS): PMA # P070015, 2007.
<http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/07/slides/20007-4333s-00-index.html> .

34 Leon MB. ENDEAVOR IV: A randomized comparison of a zotarolimus eluting stent and a paclitaxel eluting stent in patients with coronary artery disease. *TCT* 2007.

35 Mehta RH, Leon MB, Sketch MH Jr. The relation between clinical features, angiographic findings, and the target lesion revascularization rate in patients receiving the Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting stent for treatment of native coronary artery disease: An analysis of ENDEAVOR I, ENDEAVOR II, ENDEAVOR II Continued Access Registry, and ENDEAVOR III. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; **100**: 62M– 70M.

36 Kamath KR, Barry JJ, Miller KM. The Taxus drug-eluting stent: A new paradigm in controlled drug delivery. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2006; **58**: 412– 436.

37 Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Farb A, *et al.* Drug eluting stents: Are human and animal studies comparable? *Heart* 2003; **89**: 133– 138.

38 Forrester JS, Fishbein M, Helfant R, *et al.* A paradigm for restenosis based on cell biology: Clues for the development of new preventive therapies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1991; **17**: 758– 769.

39 Finn AV, Kolodgie FD, Harnek J, *et al.* Differential response of delayed healing and persistent inflammation at sites of overlapping sirolimus- or paclitaxel-eluting stents. *Circulation* 2005; **112**: 270– 278.

40 Joner M, Finn AV, Farb A, *et al.* Pathology of drug-eluting stents in humans: Delayed healing and late thrombotic risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006; **48**: 193– 202.

41 Virmani R. Histopathology of in-human atherosclerotic healing response in BMS and first generation S. DE Proceedings of the International Local Drug Delivery Meeting and Cardiovascular Course on Revascularization and Molecular Strategies, 2008.

42 Luscher TF, Steffel J, Eberli FR, *et al.* Drug-eluting stent and coronary thrombosis: Biological mechanisms and clinical implications. *Circulation* 2007; **115**: 1051– 1058.

43 Nakazawa G, Finn AV, Virmani R. Vascular pathology of drug-eluting stents. *Herz* 2007; **32**: 274– 280.

44 Nakazawa G, Finn AV, Ladich E, *et al.* Drug eluting stent safety: Findings in preclinical studies. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther* 2008; **6**: 1379– 1391.

45 Virmani R, Guagliumi G, Farb A, *et al.* Localized hypersensitivity and late coronary thrombosis secondary to a sirolimus-eluting stent: Should we be cautious? *Circulation* 2004; **109**: 701– 705.

46 Virmani R, Farb A, Guagliumi G, *et al.* Drug-eluting stents: Caution and concerns for long-term outcome. *Coron Artery Dis* 2004; **15**: 313– 318.

47 Perkins LEL, Sheehy AS, Coleman LA, *et al.* XIENCE™ V, TAXUS®, and ENDEAVOR® drug eluting coronary stent systems: Comparison in the rabbit iliac arterial model TCT (Abstract). *Am J Cardiol* 2008; **102**: 126i.

48 Joner M, Nakazawa G, Finn AV, *et al.* Endothelial cell recovery between comparator polymer-based drug-eluting stents. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; **52**: 333– 342.

Citing Literature



[Download PDF](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[About Cookies](#)

[Manage Cookies](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies](#)

[Developing World Access](#)

[Help & Support](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Training and Support](#)

[DMCA & Reporting Piracy](#)

[Opportunities](#)

[Subscription Agents](#)

[Advertisers & Corporate Partners](#)

[Connect with Wiley](#)

[The Wiley Network](#)

[Wiley Press Room](#)